

Tidewater Accounting & Bookkeeping Services, Inc.

Tax • Accounting • Financial
Professionals

Dear Clients,

I hope this emails finds you well. As always, we want to keep you up to date on events that may affect you. Therefore, I am forwarding the Complaint for Permanent Injunction of the tax preparer Karena Mondrianh on to you. Ms. Mondrianh has been preparing returns for overseas contractors through her companies Mondrianh & Associates and Ybarr Global.

Several of our current clients have used Karena's services in the past. If the IRS prevails Karena will be barred from preparing tax returns in the future. Part of the lawsuit process will require Karena to turn over her client lists. If you have had your tax returns prepared by Karena (or know someone who has) then you want to take a few minutes to review your returns. It is possible that the IRS can (and normally will) audit some of the returns from the client list. You want to make sure you can explain the deductions on your tax return. Pay particular attention to the Sch C. and 2555 form. If you do not recognize some of your expenses then you should amend your returns prior to the IRS looking at them. It appears that Karena was creating false Sch C. expenses in a plainly fraudulent manner. The form 2555 (foreign income exclusion) is a trickier subject.

Please make sure that if the 2555 income deduction was claimed on your return that you either meet the 330 day physical presence test or that you qualify under Bona Fide Resident. The 330 day rule is pretty simple. Were you outside of the USA for 330 days during any CONSECUTIVE twelve month period? If Yes then you qualify. If No then you do not.

Bona Fide Resident:

To be a Bona fide resident you need to have spent an entire year overseas, have an overseas mailing address, have the intent to return overseas, spend less than six months in the USA (Courts have ruled against taxpayers who spend equal times abroad and in the USA), and you need to have some connections to the foreign country. These connections can be paying foreign taxes, having a house or apartment, an overseas bank account, an internal drivers license, participation in community activities (I know this one is not possible in some areas) and so forth. Basically, the tax courts are looking to see if you have more connections personally to the foreign country than to the USA.

I hope this help. Stay Safe !

Ruth

609 Independence Parkway, Suite 120 • Chesapeake, VA 23320

Telephone: (757) 436-3150 • Fax (757) 436-8099

email: info@tabtax.com

www.tabtax.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FT. WORTH DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
KARENA MONDRIANH, a/k/a KARENA)	Case No.
YBARRA, a/k/a KARENA LITTLE, a/k/a)	
KARENA JOWERS, a/k/a KARENA)	
HUBBARD, a/k/a KAREN MONDRIANH,)	
a/k/a KAREN YBARRA,)	
d/b/a MONDRIANH & ASSOCIATES, PA,)	
d/b/a YBARRA GLOBAL a/k/a YBARRA)	
GLOBAL BUSINESS SOLUTIONS,)	
d/b/a SAVE UR WEALTH,)	
d/b/a EMPOWER UR LIFE,)	
d/b/a MONDRIANH TAX SERVICES.)	
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

The United States of America, for its complaint against the defendant, alleges as follows:

1. Karena Mondrianh knowingly prepares fraudulent tax returns that understate taxable income. Under various trade names, Mondrianh has prepared hundreds of tax returns for her customers, most of whom are defense contractors working overseas. Mondrianh serially understates her customers' tax liabilities by inventing – sometimes without her customers' knowledge – false IRS Form Schedule C expenses and by falsely claiming that her customers' income is exempt from tax under the foreign earned income exclusion. The United States estimates that Mondrianh's scheme may have cost the U.S. Treasury as much as \$26 million in lost tax revenue, and the harm to the United States continues every day that Mondrianh remains in business.

2. This is a civil action brought by the United States pursuant to Sections 7402, 7407, and 7408 of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C.) (“I.R.C.”) to enjoin Mondrianh from the following activities:

- a. Preparing or filing, or assisting in, or directing the preparation or filing of any federal tax return, amended return, or other federal tax documents or forms for any other person or entity;
- b. Directly or indirectly advising taxpayers to violate internal revenue laws or unlawfully evade the assessment or collection of their federal tax liabilities, including promoting, selling, or advocating the misuse of false IRS Forms 1040, 1040X, 2555, Schedule C, or other IRS forms;
- c. Filing, providing forms for, or otherwise aiding and abetting the filing of false IRS Forms 1040, 1040X, 2555, Schedule C, or any other IRS forms for herself or others;
- d. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6694 or 6701, including preparing and filing tax returns and other documents that understate the tax liabilities of others;
- e. Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 7212(a), including interfering with or impeding the IRS’s examination of federal tax returns;
- f. Preparing her own federal income tax return in a manner that fabricates expenses or purposely understates her own tax liability;
- g. Representing anyone other than herself before the IRS;

- h. Engaging in any other conduct that is subject to penalty under the I.R.C. or that interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

Jurisdiction and Venue

3. This action has been authorized by the Chief Counsel of the Internal Revenue Service and commenced at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States pursuant to I.R.C. §§ 7401, 7402, 7407, and 7408.

4. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C §§ 1340 and 1345, and I.R.C. §§ 7402.

5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Mondrianh resides in this judicial district, and further because Mondrianh provided tax advice and tax return preparation services within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Karena Mondrianh

6. Mondrianh resides in Southlake, Tarrant County, Texas. Mondrianh holds herself out as a professional in many trades, including landscape design, hair dressing, life coaching, “firewalk” instruction, and tax preparation. Mondrianh has prepared federal tax returns for compensation since at least 2007.

7. Mondrianh has filed federal income tax returns with the IRS under at least two business names: Mondrianh & Associates, PA and Ybarra Global. However, in correspondence with her tax preparation customers, Mondrianh has also used an email address with the domain name “SaveURWealth.com.” She has also deposited checks relating to her tax preparation business into bank accounts held in the names “Empower UR Life” and “Mondrianh Tax Services.”

8. Over the years, Mondrianh has been married and divorced several times.

Mondrianh has been known by several variations of her name, including: Karena Ybarra, Karena Little, Karena Jowers, Karena Hubbard, Karen Mondrianh, and Karen Ybarra.

Mondrianh Falsified IRS Form Schedule C Expenses

9. Mondrianh's customers are primarily people working with defense contractors in or near the combat zones of Iraq and Afghanistan with companies such as Blackwater and DynCorp International. Some of these customers are former military personnel with highly specialized experience, typically including both some type of security operation experience (e.g., personnel that provide personal defense and security) and special equipment skills (e.g., airplane or helicopter pilots than can operate in active combat zones). Most of Mondrianh's new customers come to her as referrals from existing customers.

10. Generally, Mondrianh's customers work either as employees operating under individually executed employment contracts, or as independent contractors operating under independently negotiated contracts. In either case, the contracts define the terms of the customers' service including, but not limited to, compensation, benefits, duration of employment or term of service as a contractor, training requirements, supervisory structure, and travel policies.

11. Once a Mondrianh customer signs a contract for overseas service, that customer incurs very few out-of-pocket expenses. When contractors or employees are deployed, the defense contracting companies pay for their travel to and from the United States, outfit them with all the equipment, uniforms, and gear they will need for their mission, and provide the customers with housing and meals.

12. Mondrianh falsifies on her customers' federal income tax returns travel, equipment, and other expenses that were never incurred by her customers in conjunction with their overseas service. By claiming these false expense deductions, Mondrianh impermissibly lowers her customers' reported taxable income.

13. For example, Mondrianh prepared a 2007 amended federal tax return for M.J., who had spent approximately 350 days of the year working for Computer Sciences Corp in Iraq. M.J.'s return reported gross receipts for tax year 2007 of \$142,000; however, Mondrianh fabricated expenses on IRS Form Schedule C of the amended return, including office expenses (\$4,871), rent for vehicles or machinery (\$12,997), repair and supply expenses (\$27,571), and travel expenses (\$28,744). M.J. did not incur any of these expenses, and she did not provide Mondrianh with any of these expense figures: Mondrianh manufactured them out of whole cloth.

14. Not only did Mondrianh falsify expenses on M.J.'s 2007 amended federal income tax return, but Mondrianh also falsified M.J.'s signature on the amended return. Indeed, Mondrianh never showed M.J. the 2007 amended federal tax return before Mondrianh filed it with the IRS.

15. Similarly, G.B., who worked in Afghanistan during tax year 2010, had his 2010 federal income tax return prepared by Mondrianh. G.B. earned over \$230,000 as a pilot and consultant in tax year 2010; however, Mondrianh improperly lowered G.B.'s IRS Form Schedule C gross income to just \$82,831 by falsely claiming over \$147,000 in bogus "materials and supplies" expenses. G.B. did not incur any expense for materials or supplies during 2010 and G.B. did not tell Mondrianh that he had incurred the expenses Mondrianh claimed on his return.

16. Mondrianh further reduced G.B.'s Schedule C income by falsely claiming on his return that he incurred \$28,741 in travel expenses. Those claimed expenses were fabrications.

By the time Mondrianh had finished falsifying G.B.'s IRS Form Schedule C, she transmogrified more than \$230,000 of income into a reported net loss of over \$20,000.

Mondrianh Falsely Claimed the Foreign Earned Income Exclusion

17. I.R.C. § 911 permits the exclusion of certain income for citizens or residents of the United States who are living abroad. Section 911(a) provides that, if a taxpayer qualifies and elects to do so, the taxpayer may exclude from gross income a portion of the taxpayer's foreign earned income. For example, in tax year 2012, a qualified individual could lawfully exclude a maximum of \$95,100 from gross income.

18. Section 911(d)(1) defines a qualified individual as someone whose tax home is in a foreign country and who has either (a) become a *bona fide* resident of a foreign country or (b) a United States citizen who, during any 12 month period, was "present in a foreign country or countries during at least 330 full days in such period."

19. The foreign earned income exclusion must be claimed on IRS Form 2555. However, the IRS has discovered several instances where Mondrianh improperly claimed the foreign earned income exclusion on customers' IRS Forms Schedule C.

20. When Mondrianh has used IRS Form 2555 to claim the foreign earned income exclusion on behalf of her customers, Mondrianh has improperly asserted that her customers qualify as *bona fide* residents of the foreign country. They do not. Although Mondrianh's customers work in or near the combat zones of Iraq and Afghanistan, upon information and belief, none have in fact elected to become *bona fide* residents of either country.

21. In some instances, Mondrianh's customers might satisfy the "physical presence" test; however, the IRS has confirmed through audit numerous instances where Mondrianh improperly claimed the foreign earned exclusion for customers who did not satisfy that test.

22. For instance, R.L. worked as a helicopter pilot in Afghanistan in part of 2010. As a contractor for Presidential Airways, R.L.'s deployment schedule was approximately 60 days in Afghanistan and 30 days back in the United States. R.L.'s first rotation to Afghanistan did not commence until June 20, 2010.

23. In 2010, R. L. spent only 134 days overseas, and therefore did not meet the physical presence standard to qualify for the foreign earned income exclusion. Nonetheless, Mondrianh improperly excluded as foreign earned income \$68,497 from R.L.'s gross income on his 2010 federal income tax return. Mondrianh never asked R.L. for any information to support the foreign earned income exclusion, and thus had no basis for claiming the exclusion of his return.

24. Similarly, DynCorp International hired W.P. as a rotary wing pilot operating in Afghanistan. W.P. worked in Afghanistan for only 47 days in 2009, and therefore did not meet the physical presence standard to qualify for the foreign earned income exclusion. Nonetheless, Mondrianh improperly excluded as foreign earned \$89,898 from W.P.'s gross income on his 2009 federal income tax return.

Mondrianh Refused to Disclose Her Customer List to the IRS

25. Tax return preparers are required to retain copies of federal income tax returns that they have prepared for at least three years or keep a list of the customers for whom they have prepared federal tax income returns. I.R.C. § 6107(b)(1). Tax return preparers are also required to make copies of the federal tax returns they have prepared or their customer lists available to the IRS upon request. I.R.C. § 6107(b)(2). Any tax return preparer who fails to comply with I.R.C. § 6107(b) is subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6695(d).

26. On October 26, 2011, the IRS served Mondrianh with an Information Document Request (IDR) that requested, among other documents, a list of her tax preparation customers. Mondrianh failed to respond to the Service's IDR.

27. On September 27, 2012, the IRS served Mondrianh with a summons that requested, among other documents, a list of her tax preparation customers. Not only did Mondrianh fail to respond to that summons, but Mondrianh also returned the summons to the IRS fraudulently using another woman's name and falsely claiming that Mondrianh no longer lived at the address listed in the IRS summons.

Mondrianh Corruptly Endeavored to Impede or Obstruct the IRS

28. Mondrianh has also intentionally undertaken a course of conduct to impede the IRS's examination of her customers' tax returns. Specifically, Mondrianh has falsely asserted the "combat zone" protections afforded by I.R.C. § 7508 to thwart the IRS's examination and collection efforts.

29. I.R.C. § 7508 protects taxpayers that have been deployed to a combat zone by postponing time limits for performing certain acts, such as filing tax returns and responding to an IRS examination, and the statute protects the taxpayer from collection of any outstanding tax liability while the taxpayer is deployed.

30. When the IRS began examining Mondrianh's customers' tax returns, revenue agents across the country began to receive form letters prepared by Mondrianh that falsely claimed that the customers were deployed to or employed in a combat zone.

31. For example, in August 2012, the IRS sent B.T. and A. T., a married couple from Vancouver, Washington, an IRS Form Letter 1912, which indicated that the IRS was examining their federal tax return. Days later, B.T. and A.T. sent to the IRS revenue agent a copy of

Mondrianh's combat zone form letter, claiming that B.T. was currently deployed and claiming protection from further action under I.R.C. § 7508.

32. The IRS revenue agent had seen Mondrianh's combat zone form letter in another examination, and suspected that Mondrianh was using the form letter falsely to delay examination. The revenue agent contacted Blackwater, and the company confirmed B.T.'s last day of deployment was February 14, 2012. Further investigation showed that B.T. had been working at CrossFit Fort Vancouver, a gym in Washington, since October 2012.

33. The IRS has received additional direct evidence of Mondrianh's malfeasance and dishonesty in the form of emails with one of her customers. Mondrianh's customer, S.H., who was in the United States, had been contacted by the IRS regarding an examination of his federal income tax returns for tax years 2008, 2009, and 2010. On August 30, 2012, S.H. emailed Mondrianh to see if she had submitted "the paper work [sic]" to the IRS. Mondrianh confirmed that she had in fact mailed her combat zone form letter to the IRS on S.H.'s behalf. On the same day, the IRS received Mondrianh's combat zone form letter, which falsely claimed that S.H. was presently deployed overseas.

34. On August 31, 2012, S.H. again emailed Mondrianh about the IRS's intent to examine his tax returns. As the following excerpt of their email exchange reveals, Mondrianh urged a customer to lie to a revenue agent in order to forestall an IRS audit:

S.H.: Karen, The IRS saying [sic] that they need the documents they requested. They called and said they were sent a letter saying I was currently deployed to a combat zone. They want me to go in to see them on Wednesday. Do you want a power of attorney to represent me. [sic] Please let me know what I need to do.

Mondrianh: Please tell me you didn't talk with them.

Mondrianh: The only way to not be audited is to be over seas [sic]. Did you tell them differently?

S.H.: am i screwed [sic]

Mondrianh: No. How do they have your home number? I need you to have your wife call them back and tell them you are currently in Iraq. Say nothing else.

S.H.: She will not do that. If they audit me what will happen [sic]

Mondrianh: You can call them yourself and tell them you are out of the country.

S.H.: they came to my house. I am pretty sure they know I'm here. Is there any other way to stop this. [sic] what if they find out i am lying [sic]

Mondrianh: When did they come to your house?

S.H.: Today

Mondrianh: They called and came by. They spoke to your wife? You need to call them and tell them you are on your way back out for deployment and you are available [sic] for an audit.

35. I.R.C. § 7212(a) provides for penalties for individuals who corruptly endeavor to “impede any officer or employee of the United States acting in an official capacity under [the Internal Revenue Code],” or in any other way corruptly obstruct or impede the due administration of the Internal Revenue Code. Mondrianh endeavored to impede the IRS’s examination in collection efforts by encouraging her customers to lie to the IRS to forestall examination of returns that Mondrianh prepared. Mondrianh also obstructed the IRS’s examination in collection efforts by falsely invoking the statutory protection provided by I.R.C. § 7508, in some cases without her customers’ approval and without verifying that her customers were in fact eligible for statutory protection.

36. Moreover, Mondrianh lied to the IRS to evade service of an IRS summons issued to her. She fraudulently used another woman’s name and identifying information to falsely claim that she did not live at the address listed in the IRS summons. Mondrianh therefore engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 7212(a).

Ongoing Harm to the United States

37. Mondrianh has prepared at least 792 federal income tax returns for the last six tax years. Based on the IRS's examination of a sample of returns filed for tax years 2009 and 2010, the Service has found that 86.7% of the returns prepared by Mondrianh substantially understate taxable income.

38. As of May 17, 2013, the IRS had examined 34 federal tax returns prepared and filed by Mondrianh on behalf of 28 of her customers. Those 34 audits resulted in over \$4.9 million in adjustments to taxable income and over \$1.3 million in tax deficiencies for Mondrianh's customers, with an average tax deficiency of over \$38,000 per return.

39. With an error rate of 86.7% and an average tax deficiency of over \$38,000 per return, the United States estimates that Mondrianh's scheme could have cost the U.S. Treasury as much as \$26 million in lost tax revenue. The harm to the United States continues with every tax return she prepares.

COUNT I: INJUNCTION UNDER I.R.C. § 7407

40. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 39.

41. Under I.R.C. § 7407, the United States may seek an injunction against any tax return preparer who has engaged in any "fraudulent or deceptive conduct which substantially interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws," or who has "engaged in any conduct subject to penalty under section 6694 or 6695, or subject to any criminal penalty provided by this title."

42. If a return preparer's misconduct is continual or repeated and the court finds that a narrower injunction (*i.e.* prohibiting specific enumerated conduct) would not be sufficient to

prevent the preparer's interference with the proper administration of federal tax laws, the court may enjoin the person from further acting as a return preparer.

43. Mondrianh has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed with the IRS false federal income tax returns on behalf of her customers. As a result, Mondrianh has continually and repeatedly engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which substantially interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws.

44. Mondrianh has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed federal tax returns that understate her customers' tax liabilities as a result of unreasonable positions, and has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6694(a).

45. Similarly, Mondrianh has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed federal tax returns that understate her customers' tax liabilities as a result of Mondrianh's willful attempt to understate her customers' tax liabilities or Mondrianh's reckless or intentional disregard of internal revenue laws and regulations, and has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6694(b).

46. Mondrianh failed to produce, at the Secretary's request, a copy her client list, which she was required to maintain under I.R.C. § 6107(b). Mondrianh has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6695(d).

47. Finally, Mondrianh also used without permission another woman's identifying information to defeat service of an IRS summons served at Mondrianh's residence. Mondrianh also attempted to impede the IRS's examination of her customers' federal tax returns, including directing at least one customer to lie or have his wife lie about having been deployed overseas to a combat zone to forestall the IRS's investigation. In so doing, Mondrianh corruptly endeavored

to obstruct or impede the due administration of the Internal Revenue laws, and engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 7212(a).

48. Injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent this misconduct because, absent an injunction, Mondrianh is likely to prepare more false and fraudulent federal income tax returns and engage in other misconduct as described in this complaint.

49. Mondrianh should be permanently enjoined under I.R.C. § 7407 from acting as a federal tax return preparer because a more limited injunction would be insufficient to stop her from interfering with the proper administration of the tax laws.

COUNT II: INJUNCTION UNDER I.R.C. § 7408

50. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 49.

51. Under I.R.C. § 7408(c)(1), a district court may enjoin any person from, *inter alia*, engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701 if injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of that conduct.

52. I.R.C. § 6701 imposes a penalty on any person who aids or assists in, procures, or advises with respect to, the preparation of any portion of a return, affidavit, claim, or other document, who knows (or has reason to believe) that such portion will be used in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws, and who knows that such portion (if so used) would result in an understatement of the liability for tax of another person.

53. Mondrianh prepared and aided or assisted in the preparation and filing of federal income tax returns and other documents that resulted in the understatement of her customers' tax liabilities. As a result Mondrianh has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 6701.

54. Mondrianh has shown no remorse for her actions. Injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of Mondrianh's misconduct.

COUNT III: INJUNCTION UNDER I.R.C. § 7402

55. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 54.

56. Under I.R.C. § 7402(a), a court may issue injunctions as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws, even if the United States has other remedies available for enforcing those laws.

57. Mondrianh substantially interferes with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws by filing false and fraudulent federal tax returns on behalf of her customers, and by interfering with the IRS's examination of her customers' federal tax returns.

58. As a result of Mondrianh's misconduct and her false and fraudulent claims, Mondrianh's customers fail to file proper tax returns, and, consequently, may be penalized under I.R.C. § 6676 for up to 20 percent of the excessive and fraudulent refund claims. The United States estimates that Mondrianh's scheme may have cost the American taxpayers as much as \$26 million in lost tax revenue, and the harm to the United States continues every day that Mondrianh remains in business.

59. Mondrianh's conduct results in irreparable harm to the United States and to the public for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

60. Unless enjoined by this Court, Mondrianh will continue to file false and fraudulent federal tax returns on behalf of her customers.

61. The United States is entitled to injunctive relief under I.R.C. § 7402(a) to prevent the recurrence of this misconduct.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays for the following relief:

A. That the Court find that Mondrianh has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6694, 6695, 6701, and 7212(a), and that injunctive relief is appropriate under I.R.C. § 7402, 7407, and 7408 to bar Mondrianh from acting as a tax return preparer;

B. That the Court find that Mondrianh has substantially interfered with the enforcement and administration of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief against her is appropriate to prevent further misconduct pursuant to I.R.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407(b)(2), 7408(b)(2);

C. That the Court permanently enjoin Mondrianh from acting as a federal tax return preparer and from preparing or filing federal tax returns or forms for others, from representing others before the IRS, and from advising anyone concerning federal tax matters;

D. That the Court permanently enjoin Mondrianh and her representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, independent contractors, and anyone in active concert or participation with her, from directly or indirectly:

- (1) Preparing or filing, or assisting in, or directing the preparation or filing of any federal tax return or amended return or other related documents or forms for any other person or entity;
- (2) Advising taxpayers to violate internal revenue laws or unlawfully evade the assessment or collection of their federal tax liabilities, including promoting, selling, or advocating the misuse of false IRS Forms 1040, 1040X, 2555, Schedule C, or other IRS forms;

- (3) Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. §§ 6694 or 6701, including preparing and filing tax returns and other documents that understate the tax liabilities of others;
- (4) Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under I.R.C. § 7212(a), including interfering with or impeding the IRS's examination of federal tax returns;
- (5) Engaging in any other conduct that is subject to penalty under the I.R.C. or that interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws;

E. That this Court permanently enjoin Mondrianh from preparing her own federal income tax returns that fabricate expenses or purposely understate her own tax liability;


F. That this Court order Mondrianh to contact all persons and entities for whom Mondrianh has prepared any federal income tax return or other tax-related documents in the past three years and inform those persons of the entry of the Court's findings and attach a copy of the permanent injunction against Mondrianh;

G. That this Court order Mondrianh to provide to the United States a list of all individuals and entities for whom Mondrianh has provided tax preparation services or tax advice in the past three years;

J. That this Court allow the government full post-judgment discovery to monitor Mondrianh's compliance with the injunction; and

K. That this Court grant the United States such additional relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

SARAH R. SALDAÑA
United States Attorney



SEAN BEATY
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7238
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-0683
Virginia Bar #67941
Facsimile: (202) 514-6770
Telephone: (202) 616-2717
Email: sean.p.beaty@usdoj.gov

Attorney for the United States of America

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
 United States of America

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
 Sean Beaty, U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division
 P.O. Box 7238, Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-2717

DEFENDANTS
 Karena Mondrianh, a/k/a Karena Ybarra, a/k/a Karena Little, a/k/a Karena Jowers, a/k/a Karena Hubbard, a/k/a Karen Mondrianh, a/k/a Karen Ybarra, d/b/a Mondrianh & Associates, PA, et al
 County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Tarrant County
 (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
 2 U.S. Government Defendant

3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

(For Diversity Cases Only)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding
 2 Removed from State Court
 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
 4 Reinstated or Reopened
 5 Transferred from another district (specify)
 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
26 U.S.C. §§ 7402, 7407, 7408

Brief description of cause:
Injunction Action against Tax Preparer

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23


DEMAND \$ _____

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) PENDING OR CLOSED: (See instructions):

JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE: 06/18/2013

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: 

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**Authority For Civil Cover Sheet**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.**
 Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference cases that are related to this filing, if any. If a related case exists, whether pending or closed, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. A case is "related" to this filing if the case: (1) involves some or all of the same parties and is based on the same or similar claim; (2) involves the same property, transaction, or event; (3) involves substantially similar issues of law and fact; and/or (4) involves the same estate in a bankruptcy appeal.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.